

From: Ted Trakas  
Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 8:35 PM  
To: District 8  
Subject: FW: VJ deed restrictions for Porsche

Sir,

Looks like I've hit the same brick wall that you did.

T2

-----Original Message-----

From: Ted Trakas  
Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 8:29 PM  
To: VJNI Directors  
Subject: FW: VJ deed restrictions for Porsche

Concerning Mr Briggs' email below:

"First, the neighbors behind us have indicated that you do not represent their interests" - I have not been notified to this effect.

"Second, your belligerent attitude is not conducive to an amicable working relationship" - My goal has been that Porsche just abide by the letter that its representative, Mr Burney, present at City Council on September 18, 2008.

Looks like the deed restrictions we expected are dead.

T2

-----Original Message-----

From: Daniel Briggs  
Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 1:55 PM  
To: 'Ted Trakas'  
Cc: 'Frank Burney'; District 8  
Subject: RE: VJ deed restrictions for Porsche

Trakas:

Let me make myself very clear regarding our position on the deed restrictions. We have acted in good faith to sign an agreement with an entity that has standing in this matter. The restrictions that have been

recorded reflect the items that we discussed and agreed to with Councilwoman Cibrian and some of the individual neighbors behind us. They also include the provision you requested concerning the placement of our dumpster away from our north property line. I included the dumpster provision in the restrictions because I gave you my word that I would do so. I did so despite the fact that the Chartwell Association, who is on the other side of the fence, has no objection to its placement along our common fence line.

The reason you were not included in this process is two-fold. First, the neighbors behind us have indicated that you do not represent their interests. You, personally, do not live in the immediate vicinity of this site and your organization has no formal or legal authority to act on behalf of the homeowners behind us. Therefore, our only concern is in trying to meet the needs of the neighbors that have a vested interest in what goes on next to them.

Second, your belligerent attitude is not conducive to an amicable working relationship. You have sent threatening and rude emails to our employees and to personnel in the corporate offices of Porsche. You may find that your offensive behavior is an effective tool in negotiating with others, but we do not respond favorably to individuals or entities that attempt to intimidate and coerce us, or wield influence over us, through the use of a bully pulpit.

You will note that, in responding to your email, I have deleted several of your recipients, other than Councilman Williams and our attorney, Frank Burney. I have done so because I am not trying to insult or demean you in a public manner such as you have attempted to do with us. However, do not delude yourself into believing that we will continue to idly sit by and allow you to make defamatory or libelous remarks against us in public without consequence. Furthermore, please accept this email as our formal request that you cease and desist further contact with any of our employees and your tortuous interference of our agreements and relationship with Porsche Cars North America, Inc.

Daniel M. Briggs

-----Original Message-----

From: Ted Trakas  
Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 6:46 AM  
To: District 8  
Cc: 'Dan Briggs'; 'Frank Burney'; ...  
Subject: Re: VJ deed restrictions for Porsche

Sir,

To find out about the deed restrictions that the Porsche dealership signed with Chartwell condos, I called the HOA president at Chartwell Condos and left a phone message. RK contacted me. He gives management support to the Chartwell.

R helped arrange the deed restrictions between Chartwell and Porsche, and told me they were told - before signing their agreement - that Porsche had already agreed to deed restrictions with our group. He offered to contact Dan Briggs at Porsche to clear up any misunderstanding, and as shown in the below email, apparently was told the same thing again. I'm confused because our association (i.e., "the neighborhood association that is directly across Powhatan") does not have such an agreement.

Could you please arrange and mediate a meeting to sort things out. I'd like to be able to report that we have a real agreement prior to the Oct 15th publisher's deadline of for our next newsletter.

Ted Trakas, President  
Vance Jackson Neighborhood, Inc.  
[www.vjni.org](http://www.vjni.org)

-----Original Message-----

From: RK  
Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 4:00 PM  
To: Ted Trakas  
Subject: Re: VJ deed restrictions for Porsche

Ted,

As promised, I visited with Dan Briggs about this matter. He said that the owners of the property where the Porsche dealership will be developed have completed an agreement for mutually acceptable deed restrictions with the neighborhood association that is directly across Powhatan from the subject property. Since they and Chartwell Condominiums are the neighbors that will be directly affected by the Porsche dealership, and since both of the Associations are satisfied with their respective agreements, it doesn't appear that anything more needs to be done on this matter.

RK

-----Original Message-----

From: Ted Trakas  
Date: 09/19/10 20:51:36  
To: RK  
Subject: VJ deed restrictions for Porsche

R,

Nice to talk to you Saturday. Some background information on deed restrictions on the old Calloway property that VJ expects:

- Attachment 1 – The article about the case from our September 2010 newsletter.
- Attachment 2 – A copy of the letter that Frank Burney present at City Council on September 18, 2008. This is basically what we expect to be codified.

Thanx for any help you can give.

Ted Trakas, President  
Vance Jackson Neighborhood, Inc.  
[www.vjni.org](http://www.vjni.org)